

## SILABUS

### A. Identitas Mata Kuliah

**Nama Mata Kuliah :** Kurikulum dan Metodologi Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris

**Kode Mata Kuliah :** LIT215

**SKS :** 2

**Dosen :** Joko Priyana, Ph.D.

**Program Studi :** LT Kons PBE 1

**Prasyarat :**

**Waktu Perkuliahan:** Senin (pukul 07.00 – 08.40)

### B. Standar Kompetensi:

Mampu mengembangkan kurikulum/ standar isi, silabus, dan bahan ajar dan memilih dan menerapkan metode pembelajaran bahasa Inggris terkini sesuai dengan konteks serta mengembangkan karakter.

### C. Deskripsi Mata Kuliah:

Pada akhir perkuliahan ini mahasiswa diharapkan memiliki pengetahuan dan keterampilan yang memadai dalam mengembangkan kurikulum/standar isi, silabus, dan bahan ajar dan memilih dan menerapkan metode pembelajaran bahasa Inggris terkini sesuai dengan konteks pembelajaran masing-masing. Mahasiswa akan terlibat dalam diskusi-diskusi mengenai isu-isu terkini mengenai pengembangan kurikulum/standar isi, silabus, dan bahan ajar (termasuk peran guru dalam pengembangan kurikulum, integrasi pendidikan karakter dalam kurikulum, dan kurikulum bagi sekolah bertaraf internasional) dan tren terkini dalam pendekatan, metode, dan teknik pembelajaran bahasa Inggris sebagai bahasa asing untuk keempat keterampilan berbahasa (termasuk pembelajaran kosakata, tatabahasa, dan *pronunciation*). Mahasiswa tidak hanya akan secara aktif mendiskusikan kosep-konsep, tetapi juga penerapannya di lapangan, terutama pada konteks Indonesia.

### D. Pokok Bahasan Tiap Pertemuan dan Bacaan Utama

Meeting	Topics	Main Readings
1.	Introduction to the course	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•Silabus mata kuliah Kurikulum dan Metodologi Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris</li></ul>
2.	Curriculum: the nature of curriculum, hidden curriculum, teacher curriculum decision-making, school-based curriculum.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•Print, M. (1993). <i>Curriculum Development and Design</i>. St Leonards: Allen and Unwin Pty Ltd, pp. 1-23.</li><li>•Nunan, D. 1988. <i>Syllabus Design</i>. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 1-8.</li></ul>

3.	Curriculum development process	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nation, I.S.P. and Macalister, J. (2010). Language Curriculum Design. Routledge: New York, pp. 1-12.</li> </ul>
4.	Environment and needs analyses	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nation, I.S.P. and Macalister, J. (2010). Language Curriculum Design. Routledge: New York, pp. 15-22.</li> <li>Print, M. (1993). <i>Curriculum Development and Design</i>. St Leonards: Allen and Unwin Pty Ltd, pp. 109-120.</li> </ul>
5.	Characteristics of an effective language course	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nation, I.S.P. and Macalister, J. (2010). Language Curriculum Design. Routledge: New York, pp. 37-69.</li> </ul>
6.	Goals, content, and sequencing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nation, I.S.P. and Macalister, J. (2010). Language Curriculum Design. Routledge: New York, pp. 70-87.</li> <li>Nunan, D. 1988. Syllabus Design. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 85-95.</li> </ul>
7.	Materials development: developing own materials and adopting and adapting existing materials (including making input comprehensible)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nation, I.S.P. and Macalister, J. (2010). Language Curriculum Design. Routledge: New York, pp. 159-171.</li> <li>Tomlinson, C.A. (2001). How to Differentiate Instruction in Mixed-Ability Classrooms. Alexandria: ASCD.</li> </ul>
8.	Integrating character building in the curriculum, KTSP, Curriculum for national and international standard schools	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Depdiknas. (2011). Panduan Pendidikan Karakter untuk SMP.</li> <li>Depdiknas. (2011). Panduan Penyelenggaraan SBI untuk SMP.</li> <li>Permendiknas 78/2009 tentang Penyelenggaraan SBI.</li> <li>SI dan SKL SBI SMP.</li> <li>Johnson, Robert Keith and Swain, Merrill (Ed.). 1997. <i>Immersion Education: International Perspectives</i>. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.</li> </ul>
9.	Mid-semester test	
10.	Major project	Any sources relevant to individual student's needs
11.	CLT, Task-Based Instruction, and Content-based Instruction	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Depdiknas. (2011). Panduan Penyelenggaraan SBI untuk SMP.</li> <li>Permendiknas 78/2009 tentang Penyelenggaraan SBI.</li> <li>SI dan SKL SBI SMP.</li> </ul>

12.	Teaching listening and speaking communicatively	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Richards, J.C. and Renandya, W.A. (Ed.). (2002). <i>Methodology in Language Teaching: An Anthology of Current Practice</i>. Cambridge: CUP, pp. 201-248.</li> <li>• Ur, P. (1991). <i>A Course in Language Teaching: Practice and Theory</i>. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 105-133.</li> </ul>
13.	Teaching reading and writing communicatively	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Richards, J.C. and Renandya, W.A. (Ed.). (2002). <i>Methodology in Language Teaching: An Anthology of Current Practice</i>. Cambridge: CUP, pp. 273-328.</li> <li>• Ur, P. (1991). <i>A Course in Language Teaching: Practice and Theory</i>. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 138-170.</li> </ul>
14.	Teaching grammar communicatively	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Richards, J.C. and Renandya, W.A. (Ed.). (2002). <i>Methodology in Language Teaching: An Anthology of Current Practice</i>. Cambridge: CUP, pp. 145-167.</li> <li>• Ur, P. (1991). <i>A Course in Language Teaching: Practice and Theory</i>. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 75-85.</li> </ul>
15.	Major project	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• </li> </ul>
16.	Teaching vocabulary and pronunciation communicatively	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Richards, J.C. and Renandya, W.A. (Ed.). (2002). <i>Methodology in Language Teaching: An Anthology of Current Practice</i>. Cambridge: CUP, pp. 255-267 and 175-188.</li> <li>• Ur, P. (1991). <i>A Course in Language Teaching: Practice and Theory</i>. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 47-69.</li> </ul>

## E. Evaluasi Hasil Belajar

To assess the students' achievement, the students are required to:

- Partisipasi kuliah : 20%
- Tugas mingguan : 20%
- Proyek : 20%
- Ujian tengah semester : 20%
- Ujian akhir semester : 20%

Catatan: 10% denda untuk keterlambatan penyerahan tugas.

## F. Referensi

### Utama

- Depdiknas. (2011). Panduan Pendidikan Karakter untuk SMP.
- Depdiknas. (2011). Panduan Penyelenggaraan SBI untuk SMP.
- Johnson, Robert Keith and Swain, Merrill (Ed.). 1997. Immersion Education: International Perspectives. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Nation, I.S.P. and Macalister, J. (2010). Language Curriculum Design. Routledge: New York, pp. 1-12.
- Nunan, D. 1988. Syllabus Design. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 1-8.
- Permendiknas 78/2009 tentang Penyelenggaraan SBI.
- Print, M. (1993). Curriculum Development and Design. St Leonards: Allen and Unwin Pty Ltd, pp. 1-23.
- Richards, J.C. and Renandya, W.A. (Ed.). (2002). Methodology in Language Teaching: An Anthology of Current Practice. Cambridge: CUP, pp. 201-248.
- Tomlinson, C.A. (2001). How to Differentiate Instruction in Mixed-Ability Classrooms. Alexandria: ASCD.
- Ur, P. (1991). A Course in Language Teaching: Practice and Theory. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 105-133.

### Tambahan

- Brown, H.D. (2007). *Principles of Language Learning and Teaching*. New York: Pearson Education Limited.
- Bygate, Martin et.al. (Ed.). 2001. *Researching Pedagogic Tasks*. England: Pearson Education Limited.
- Crawford, J. (1990). How authentic is the language in our classrooms? *Prospect*, 6, 47-54.
- Ellis, R. (1995a). Modified oral input and the acquisition of word meanings. *SSLA*, 21, 285-301.
- Ellis, R. (1995b). Interpretation tasks for grammar teaching. *TESOL Quarterly*, 29, 1, 87-105.
- Ellis, R. (1997). *Second Language Acquisition*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ellis, R. (1998). The empirical evaluation of language teaching materials. In Tomlinson, B. (Ed.), *Materials Development in Language Teaching* (pp. 217-238). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Foster, P. (1998). A classroom perspective on the negotiation of meaning. *Applied Linguistics*, 19, 1-23.
- Foster, P. and Skehan, P. (1996). The influence of planning and task type on second language performance. *SSLA*, 18, 299-323.
- Foster, P. and Skehan, P. (1999). The influence of source of planning and focus of planning on task-based performance. *Language Teaching Research*, 3, 215-247.

- Fotos, S. (1994). Integrating grammar instruction and communicative language use through grammar consciousness-raising tasks. *TESOL Quarterly*, 28, 323-351.
- Fotos, S., and Ellis, R. (1991). Communicating about grammar: A task-based approach. *TESOL Quarterly*, 25, 605-628.
- Harmer, J. (1991). *The Practice of English Language Teaching*. London: Longman.
- Johnson, K. (2008). *An Introduction to foreign language Learning and Teaching*. London: Pearson Education Limited.
- Krashen, S.D. (1985). *The Input Hypothesis: Issues and Implications*. London: Longman.
- Long, M. H. (1981). Input, interaction and second language acquisition. In Winitz (Ed.). *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 379, 259-278.
- Mackey, A. (1994). *Using Communicative Tasks to Target Grammatical Structures: A Handbook of Tasks and Instructions for their Use*. Sydney: LARC, University of Sydney.
- Mackey, A. (1999). Input, interaction, and second language development: An empirical study of question formation in ESL. *SSLA*, 21, 557-587.
- Mehnert, U. (1998). The effects of different lengths of time for planning on second language performance. *SSLA*, 20, 83-108.
- Nunan, D. (1989). *Designing Tasks for the Communicative Classroom*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Oh, S.Y. (2001). Two types of input modification and EFL reading comprehension: Simplification versus elaboration. *TESOL Quarterly*, 35, 69-96.
- Ortega, L. (1999). Planning and focus on form in L2 oral performance. *SSLA*, 21, 109-148.
- Parker, K. and Chaudron, C. (1987). The effects of linguistic simplifications and elaborative modifications on L2 comprehension. University of Hawaii. *Working Papers in ESL*, 6, 107-133.
- Prabhu, N.S. (1987). Language education: Equipping or enabling? In Das, B.K. (Ed.), *Language Education in Human Resource Development*. Singapore: RELC.
- Richards, J.C. (1999). Addressing the grammar-gap in task work. *TESOL in Context*, 9, 3-10.
- Robinson, P. (2001). Task complexity, task difficulty, and task production: Exploring interactions in a componential framework. *Applied Linguistics*, 22, 27-57.
- Skehan, P. (1996). A framework for the implementation of task-based instruction. *Applied Linguistics*, 17, 38-62.
- Swain, M. (1993). The output hypothesis: Just speaking and writing aren't enough. *The Canadian Modern Language Review*, 50, 158-164.
- Swain, M. and Lapkin, S. (1995). Problems in output and the cognitive processes they generate: A step towards second language learning. *Applied Linguistics*, 16, 371-391.
- Tomlinson, B. (Ed.). 1998. *Materials Development in Language Teaching*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Tomlinson, B. (Ed.). 1998. *Materials Development in Language Teaching*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Willis, Jane. 1992. *Teaching English through English*. England: Longman Group UK Limited