

ISSN: 2407-1501

PROCEEDING

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH AND EVALUATION (ICERE)

“Authentic Assessment for improving Teaching Quality”

November 8-9, 2014
Rectorate Hall and Graduate School
Yogyakarta State University
Indonesia





**Organized by:
Doctoral and Master's Program in
Educational Research and Evaluation
Graduate School, Yogyakarta State University**

Proceeding

International Conference on Educational Research and Evaluation (ICERE) 2014

Publishing Institute

Yogyakarta State University

Director of Publication

Prof. Djemari Mardapi, Ph.D.

Board of Reviewers

Prof. Djemari Mardapi, Ph.D.

Prof. Dr. Badrun Kartowagiran

Prof. Dr. Sudji Munadi

Prof. Dr. Trie Hartiti Retnowati

Dr. Heri Retnowati

Dr. Widiastuti

Editors

Ashadi, Ed.D.

Suhaini M. Saleh, M.A.

Titik Sudartinah, M.A.

Lay Out

Anggit Prabowo, M.Pd.

Rohmat Purwoko, A.Md.

Address

Yogyakarta State University

ISSN: 2407-1501

@ 2014 Yogyakarta State University

All right reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced without the prior written permission of Yogyakarta State University

All articles in the proceeding of International Conference on Educational Research and Evaluation (ICERE) 2014 are not the official opinions and standings of editors. Contents and consequences resulted from the articles are sole responsibilities of individual writers.

BACKGROUND

In its effort to improve the quality of education in Indonesia, the Indonesian government has imposed Curriculum 2013 on schools of all level in Indonesia. The main difference between Curriculum 2013 and the previous curriculum lies in its implementation which uses the scientific approach. For the reason, teachers need to develop teaching strategies different from those they used to apply in the implementation of the previous curriculum. Besides, teachers also need to develop the techniques of evaluating students' learning achievement, which are relevant to the scientific approach. The evaluation has to be able to show the students' learning achievement in observing, experimenting, social networking, etc.

Authentic assessment conducted in the classroom and focusing on complex and contextual tasks enables students to perform their competence in a more authentic arrangement. It is very relevant to the authentic approach integrated in their teaching process, especially at elementary schools, or for appropriate lessons. It must be able to show which attitude, skill, and knowledge have or have not been mastered by the students, how they use their knowledge, what aspect they have or have not been able to apply, and so on.

On the basis of the above consideration, teachers can identify what materials the students can study further and for what material they need to have a remedial program. Authentic assessment, however, is not that easy!

FOREWORD

In the academic year of 2014, the government in this case the Ministry of Education and Culture has established the policy to run the curriculum of 2013 for the all levels of elementary and intermediate education in Indonesia. It means the schools have to be ready to implement the Curriculum of 2013. Basically, the implementation of the 2013 curriculum is an effort from the government to enhance the quality of education.

One of the characteristics of the 2013 curriculum is make use the scientific approach in the learning process. This approach is to improve the students' creativity in learning. In general, this approach seems to be a new thing for the teachers in which several problems and obstacles appear in its practice. The teachers are required to develop the learning strategies and the assessment systems which are relevant and appropriate in order to nurture the students' creativity. One of the assessment methods that can support the concept of scientific approach is by using the authentic assessment. Authentic assessment can give the description of the knowledge, the attitudes, and the skills as well as what has or has not owned by the students and the way they apply their knowledge. Also, in what case they have or have not been able to implement the learning acquisition.

Based to the above circumstances, the Study Program of Educational Research and Evaluation, Graduate School of Yogyakarta State University (Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta) conduct the international seminar on the theme "Classroom Assessment for Improving Teaching Quality". There will be three sub-themes on this seminar, i.e. Issues of Classroom Assessment Implementation, Implementation of Authentic Assessment, and Developing a Strategy of Creative Teaching. By having this seminar, the participants are expected to possess the knowledge and the skills to develop and to apply the authentic assessment.

Yogyakarta, November 8, 2014
Head of Committee

Prof. Dr. Sudji Munadi

CONTENTS:

	pages
Title	i
Background	ii
Foreword	iii
Welcome Speech	iv
Preface	v
Content	vi

Invited Speaker

ISSUES OF CLASSROOM ASSESSMENT IMPLEMENTATION Madhabi Chatterji	2
IMPLEMENTATION OF AUTHENTIC ASSESSMENT Pongthep Jiraro.....	11
DEVELOPING A STRATEGY OF CREATIVE TEACHING Paulina Panen.....	23

Paper Presenter

Theme 1:

Issues of Classroom Assessment Implementation

ASSESSMENT IN DEVELOPMENT COMPUTER-AIDED INSTRUCTION Abdul Muis Mappalotteng	35
THE MEASUREMENT MODEL OF INTRAPERSONAL AND INTERPERSONAL SKILLS CONSTRUCTS BASED ON CHARACTER EDUCATION IN ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS Akif Khilmiyah.....	47
LEARNING ASSESSMENT ON VOCATIONAL SUBJECT MATTERS OF THE BUILDING CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM OF THE VOCATIONAL HIGH SCHOOL IN APPROPRIATE TO CURRICULUM 2013 Amat Jaedun	63
ACCURACY OF EQUATING METHODS FOR MONITORING THE PROGRESS STUDENTS ABILITY Anak Agung PurwaAntara	74

EFFECT OF PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT ON STUDENTS' THE ACHIEVEMENT IN PHYSICS HIGH SCHOOL Aswin Hermanus Mondolang	86
TEST ITEM ANALYSIS PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT WITH RASCH MODEL ONE PARAMETER FOR TESTING THE ITEM DIFFICULTY LEVEL OF MULTIPLE-CHOICE TEST USING BLOODSHED DEV C++ APPLICATIONS Dadan Rosana, Otok Ewi Amsirta	93
EFFECTIVENESS OF REASONED OBJECTIVE CHOICE TEST TO MEASURE HIGHER ORDER THINKING SKILLS IN PHYSICS IMPLEMENTING OF CURRICULUM 2013 Edi Istiyono, Djemari Mardapi, Suparno	101
DEVELOPING STUDENTS' SELF-ASSESSMENT AND STUDENTS' PEER-ASSESSMENT OF THE SUBJECT-MATTER COMPETENCY OF PHYSICS EDUCATION STUDENTS Enny Wijayanti, Kumaidi, Mundilarto	111
THE RESULT OF ASSESSMENT FOR STUDENTS IN SOLVING EXPONENTS AND LOGARITHMS PROBLEMS (CASE STUDY IN GRADE X CLASS MATHEMATICS AND NATURAL SCIENCE (MIA) 2 STATE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL 1 DEPOK 2014/2015) Fajar Elmy Nuriyah	123
RELIABILITY RANKING AND RATING SCALES OF MYER AND BRIGGS TYPE INDICATOR (MBTI) Farida Agus Setiawati	131
THE COMPARISON OF ITEMS' AND TESTEES' ABILITY PARAMETER ESTIMATION IN DICHOTOMOUS AND POLITOMUS SCORING (STUDIES IN THE READING ABILITY OF TEST OF ENGLISH PROFICIENCY) Heri Retnawati	139
STUDENTS' CHARACTER ASSESSMENT AS A REFERENCE IN TEACHING LEARNING PROCESS AT SMPK GENERASI UNGGUL KUPANG KorneliusUpa Rodo, Netry E.M. Maruckh, Joko Susilo	151
MEASUREMENT ERROR ESTIMATION OF CUT SCORE OF ANGOFF METHOD BY BOOTSRA TP METHOD Sebastianus Widanarto Prijowuntat	157

THE ACTUALIZATION OF PROJECT-BASED ASSESSMENT IN ENTREPRENEURSHIP EDUCATION BASED ON LOCAL EXCELLENCE IN MEASURING SKILLS OF VOCATIONAL HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS Sukardi	168
THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE USE OF THE INSTRUMENTS AND RUBRICS OF CREATIVE THINKING SKILLS–BASED ASSESMENT PROJECT IN THE LEARNING OF CONSUMER EDUCATION Sri Wening	177
PROJECT WORK USED IN A COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT TO MEASURE COMPETENCES OF UNDERGRADUATE ENGINEERING STUDENTS Sudiyatno.....	194
THE DEVELOPMENT OF A SET OF INSTRUMENT FOR STUDENT PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT Supahar	202
DEVELOP MODEL TASC TO IMPROVE HIGHER ORDER THINKING SKILLS IN CREATIVE TEACHING Surya Haryandi	210
THE EFFECT OF NUMBER’S ALTERNATIVE ANSWERSON PARTIAL CREDIT MODEL (PCM) TOWARDESTIMATION RESULT PARAMETERS OF POLITOMUS ITEM TEST Syukrul Hamdi	216
THE CONTENT VALIDITY OF THE TEACHER APTITUDE INSTRUMENT Wasidi	227
DEVELOPING COGNITIVE DIAGNOSTIC TESTS ON LEARNING OF SCIENCE Yuli Prihatni	233
DIAGNOSTIC MODEL OF STUDENT LEARNING DIFFICULTIES BASED ON NATIONAL EXAM Zamsir, Hasnawati	246
DEVELOPMENT OF A MODEL OF ACADEMIC ATTITUDE AMONG SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS Sumadi	258

Theme 2:

Implementation of Authentic Assessment

IMPLEMENTATION OF AUTHENTIC ASSESSMENT OF CURRICULUM 2013 AT STATE ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS IN PABELAN Abdul Mu'in, Nining Marianingsih, Woro Widyastuti	265
AUTHENTIC ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT LEARNING MATHEMATICS WITH TECHNOLOGY Ida Karnasih	278
AUTHENTIC ASSESSMENT : UNDERSTANDING LEVELS AND CONSTRAINTS IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE TEACHER IN THE CITY OF LHOKSEUMAWE ACEH PROVINCE M. Hasan	286
AUTHENTIC ASSESSMENT DETERMINANT IN ISLAMIC RELIGION EDUCATION EXECUTION TOWARDS COGNIZANCE QUALITY HAVES A RELIGION IN STUDENT AT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL AND MADRASAH IBTIDAIYAH AT KUDUS REGENCY Masrukhin	295
AUTHENTIC ASSESSMENT FOR IMPROVING TEACHING QUALITY: PORTFOLIO AND SLC IN PAPUA HARAPAN SCHOOL Noveliza Tepy, Sabeth Nuryana, Putri Adri	307

Theme 3:

Developing a Strategy of Creative Teaching

THE EFFECT OF MATH LESSON STUDY IN TERMS OF MATHEMATICS TEACHER'S COMPETENCE AND MATH STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT 'Afifatul Muslikhah	319
AN EVALUATION OF THE ENGLISH TEACHING METHODS IMPLEMENTED AT BUJUMBURA MONTESSORI PRIMARY SCHOOL: WEAKNESSES AND ACHIEVEMENTS Alfred Irambona	323
TEAMS GAME TOURNAMENT FOR IMPROVING THE STUDENTS' INTEREST TOWARD MATHEMATICS Anggit Prabowo	331

DEVELOPING LEARNING KIT TO IMPROVE HOTS FOR FLAT SIDE OF SPACE COMPETENCE Arifin Riadi	346
DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY OF TEACHERS' TEACHING PROFESSIONALISM Bambang Budi Wiyono	352
THE EFFECT OF QUESTION PROMPTS AND LANGUAGE ABILITY ON THE QUALITY OF THE STUDENT'S ARGUMENT Bambang Suteng Sulasmono, Henny Dewi Koeswanti	364
THE USE OF RESPONSE ACTIVITIES IN DEVELOPING READING SKILLS AMONG INTERMEDIATE EFL STUDENTS Beatriz Eugenia Orantes Pérez	378
COMPARISON OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF CONSTRUCTIVISM AND CONVENTIONAL LEARNING KIT OF MATHEMATICS VIEWED FROM ACHIEVEMENT AND SELF CONFIDENCE OF STUDENTS IN VOCATIONAL HIGH SCHOOL (AN EXPERIMENTAL STUDY IN YEAR XI OF SMK MUHAMMADIYAH 2 YOGYAKARTA) Dwi Astuti, Heri Retnawati	389
THE EFFECT OF CLASS-VISITATION SUPERVISION OF THE SCHOOL PRINCIPAL TOWARD THE COMPETENCE AND PERFORMANCE OF PANGUDI LUHUR AMBARAWA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL TEACHERS Dwi Setiyanti, Lowisye Leatomu, Ari Sri Puranto, Theodora Hadiastuti, Elsavior Silas	394
THE 'REOP' ARCHITECTURE TO IMPROVE STUDENTS LEARNING CAPACITY Edna Maria, Febriyant Jalu Prakosa, Christiana, Monica Ganeip Pertiwi	403
E-LEARNING-BASED TRAINING MODEL FOR ACCOUNTING TEACHERS IN EAST JAVA Endang Sri Andayani, Sawitri Dwi Prastiti, Ika Putri Larasati, Ari Sapto ...	408
CONCEPT AND CONTEXT RELATIONSHIP MASTERY LEARNING AND THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN BIOLOGY AND PHYSICS CONCEPT ABOUT MANGROVE FOREST Eva Sherly Nonke Kaunang	431

THE EFFECTIVENESS OF TEACHING MULTIMEDIA ON TOPIC OF THREE DIMENSIONS IN TERMS OF THE MATHEMATICS LEARNING ACHIEVEMENT AND INTEREST OF STATE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL Lisner Tiurma, Heri Retnawati	439
BUILDING THE STUDENT CHARACTER THROUGH THE ACADEMIC SERVICE M. Miftah	448
THE TEACHING EVALUATION OF GERMAN TEACHER IN MALANG Primardiana Hermilia Wijayati	464
SUPPORTING PHYSICS STUDENT LEARNING WITH WEB-BASED ASSESSMENT FOR LEARNING Sentot Kusairi, Sujito	479
AMONG LEARNING AS A CULTURE BASED LEARNING OF TAMAN MUDA TAMAN SISWA AS CONTRIBUTION TO THE LEARNING PROCESS OF 2013 CURRICULUM AND CHARACTER EDUCATION OF THE NATION Siti Malikhah Towaf	490
THE PERFORMANCE OF THE BACHELOR EDUCATION IN-SERVICE TEACHERS PROGRAMME (ICT-BASED BEITP) BACHELOR GRADUATED AND ITS DETERMINANT Slameto	513
DEVELOPING LEARNING TOOLSOFA GAME-BASED LEARNING THROUGH REALISTIC MATHEMATICS EDUCATION (RME) FOR TEACHING AND LEARNING BASED ON CURRICULUM 2013 Sunandar, Muhtarom, Sugiyanti	525
PREPARATION OF COMPUTER ANIMATION MODEL FOR LEARNING ELECTRICAL MAGNETIC II PHYSICAL EDUCATION PROGRAM STUDENTS SEMESTER IV TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION FACULTY SARJANAWIYATA TAMANSISWA UNIVERSITY 2014 Sunarto	536
IMPROVEMENT ACTIVITIES AND STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES IN READING COMPREHENSION THROUGH COOPERATIVE LEARNING TYPE TEAMS-GAMES-TOURNAMENT (TGT) CLASS V SD NEGERI 8 METRO SOUTH Teguh Prasetyo, Suwarjo, Sulistiasih	547

PSYCHOLOGICAL FACTOR AFFECTING ENGLISH SPEAKING PERFORMANCE FOR THE ENGLISH LEARNERS IN INDONESIA Youssof Haidara	558
---	-----

THE DEVELOPMENT OF A SET OF INSTRUMENT FOR STUDENT PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT IN THE PHYSICS LABORATORY WORK SUBJECT OF JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL

Supahar

Physics Education Department, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Yogyakarta State University
supahar@uny.ac.id

Abstract

This study aims to develop a set of instrument for the measurement of the laboratory work ability performance on physical subjects of junior high school students to measure the writing the report lab work' ability performance on physics subjects. This research was conducted through three stages, namely: the initial instrument development, the try out, and interpretation of the measurement result. The initial development stage included instrument writing, reviewing and validating of the blue print of the instrument, items, and assessment guidelines. The content validation was carried out by the measurement experts, physical education specialists, and teachers physics through forum group discussion (FGD). The instrument that had been validated was tried out to 54 students by involving three raters. Interpretation of the measurement results be described using the total score as the basis for the categorization of assessment. The results of the development a set of instrument for the measurement of the preparation of laboratory reports ability performance on physical subjects of junior high school students, aspects that measured include: statement of the problem, experimental design, data collection and display, data analysis, and conclusion. The reliability index of the instrument try out is at the excellent category of 0.858. The results of measurement showed that the preparation lab report ability performance on physics subjects scores are dominantly in the second and third categories out of the four categories. Thus, the writing of lab work reports ability performance of physical subjects of junior high school students are not satisfactory.

Keywords: *Performance Assessment, Lab Work Reports, Physics Subject.*

Introduction

Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan RI Number 66 Year 2013 concerning assessment standards states that the assessment of learning outcomes by educators conducted on an ongoing basis is intended to monitor processes and progress of the students and to enhance learning effectiveness. Assessment in the field of education by educators is absolutely necessary to continuously monitor progress in students' ability. Therefore, assessment should be preceded by identifying indicators of learning outcomes and then continued with the application of an assessment technique that is relevant to the learning model that is used.

According to Sterling (2005: p. 33), effective assessment should be related to the ways the learning activities are carried out, and the results can be used to indicate the learning outcomes. The learning objectives one of them can be achieved through an assessment cycle

that consists of diagnostic assessment, formative assessment, summative assessment, and confirmatory assessment. The diagnostic assessment is made before a course begins. The goal is to find out what students already know about the concepts to be discussed in a course. Formative assessment is made throughout the course and this type of assessment should be done in each face to face meeting in the form of short items to monitor progress that students make. Summative assessment is done at the end of a course to assess whether students have learned about the concepts taught in the course. Confirmatory assessment is made a while after a course has ended to test knowledge retention.




Figure 1. The Learning Assessment Cycle

Glencoe science (t.t.: p.3) split features of educational assessment into two, namely traditional assessment features and performance assessment features. The traditional assessment features include basic knowledge, knowledge processes, content of the knowledge, and problem solving. The performance assessment features include basic knowledge, group learning, self-assessment, application of skills, creative designs, authentic application, creative products, and application of all the skills that the students have mastered. Traditional assessment is a type of testing through the method of paper and pencil test, for examples, multiple choice, true-false, and matching. Another form of this paper and pencil test method is to ask testees to write down their own responses, such as in open-ended questions (essay), either short essays or free essays.

Quellmalz & Schank (1999: p. 2) state that performance assessment is generally intended to examine the knowledge and deep understanding of the students regarding the concepts and strategies of investigations, to make them actively think, and to measure their skills in communicating their understanding. This performance assessment method, according to Ruiz-Primo & Shavelson (1996: p. 1047) and Shavelson. et. al. (1992: p. 23), consists of: (1) direct observation, (2) notebooks, (3) computer simulation, and (4) paper and pencil test.

The 2013 Curriculum (Kurikulum 2013) puts an emphasis on performance assessment to determine students' achievement of competences which include knowledge, skills, and

attitudes. The focus is on their successful learning outcomes which meet the specified competence standards. The impacts that may arise are that teachers can enrich ideas to help make the most of students' ability to think. Based on the preliminary survey conducted in junior high schools (SMP) in DIY, it is revealed that physics practicum has not been assessed using this performance assessment. This is because of the unavailability of such an assessment instruments. Thus, research on the development of an assessment instrument which measures students' performance on the inquiry-based physics lab work which meets the validity and reliability as an alternative assessment format is necessary. Based on the foregoing, the objectives of the present study can be formulated as follows: (1) To develop a performance assessment instrument that meets the requirements of validity and reliability to measure Junior High School students' ability in writing the report of their lab work physics, and (2) To describe the ability of the students in the research site in writing the report of their physics lab work.

Research Method

This research employed a quantitative approach. The research subject consisted of Junior High School students and physics teachers as a rater. The research sample consisted of the students of SMP Negeri 15 Yogyakarta Class VII-B and VII-F with the physics teachers of Grades VII, VIII, and IX of the school as raters.

The stages to develop the performance assessment instrument to measure the ability to write the report of physics practicum referred to instrument development procedures proposed by Oriondo & Dallo-Antonio (1984: p. 34). Those stages of instrument development include: planning assessment, trying out the instrument, establishing instrument validity and reliability, and interpreting the assessment scores.

The stage of *planning assessment* began with arranging the learning continuum in the ability to write the report of physics practicum as the basis to develop the blueprint for the development of the assessment instrument. The blue print for the development of the performance assessment instrument for physics practicum reports covers a number of aspects of assessment, namely: identification of reports, statement of the problems, the experimental design, data collection and display, data analysis, as well as conclusions and suggestions. Preparation of the items to be assessed was based on the formulated blue print of the instrument development. The performance assessment instrument to measure junior high school students' ability to write the report of physics practicum consists of as many as 24 assessment items. The checklist model was used as the assessment format.

The content validity of this performance assessment instrument to measure the ability to write the report of physics lab work was obtained through *Focus Group Discussion (FGD)* which involved measurement experts and physics teachers. Based on the results of the FGD, it is suggested that: (1) all the aspects of assessment, and assessment items developed by the researcher met the criteria of fit for use as an assessment instrument, (2) the performance assessment instrument try out was conducted at SMP Negeri 15 Yogyakarta with the students of Class VII-B and VII-F as the respondents, (3) the materials were about temperature and calor because these materials were discussed at the time of the research was conducted, and (4) raters that participated in this research consisted of one physics teacher of Grade VII, one physics teacher of Grade VIII, and one physics teacher of Grade IX as the representative of each grade.

The reliability of this performance assessment instrument to measure the ability to write the report of physics practicum was obtained using the following methods of estimating reliability: *interclass correlation coefficients (ICC)* and *Cronbach's alpha coefficient*. Criteria for the assessment instrument to have good reliability are if the $ICC \geq 0.60$ and the Cronbach's alpha coefficient ≥ 0.70 . The relationship between the ICC coefficient and the Cronbach's alpha coefficient (α) is formulated as follows.

$$ICC = \frac{MS_{people} - MS_{residual}}{MS_{people} + (df_{people} \times MS_{residual})}$$

$$\alpha = \frac{k \times ICC}{1 + (k-1) \times ICC} \text{ where } k = \text{the number of raters.}$$

Interpretation of the score obtained from the performance assessment for the ability to write the report of physics practicum was made based on the attained criterion scores of the students in the research site. The scoring was done by trained raters consisting of three physics teachers and the results of the assessment by the researcher served as the benchmark. The scoring was done by putting a V sign in the column "Yes" when the sub-aspect being assessed is indicated in the physics lab work report notebook. The total score is obtained by summing all the (V) signs in the column "Yes". The criterion score was calculated using the following formula:

$$Score\ Criteria = \frac{Total\ Score}{Sum\ of\ Items} \times 100\%$$

The criteria to obtain a score of 4, 3, 2, and 1 are that if $\geq 86\%$, $(85-70)\%$, $(69-56)\%$, and $\leq 55\%$ of the elements in the performance assessment can be done by the students, respectively. Qualitatively, the scores by 4, 3, 2, and 1 each have the following meaning: 4 = satisfactory, 3 = weak, 2 = inadequate, and 1 = unsatisfactory. The summary of the results for

the assessment of the Junior High School students' ability to write the report of physics lab work are presented in frequency distribution and percentage according to the scores of criteria of achievement.

Findings and Discussion

The results of the performance assessment instrument development for junior high school students' ability to write the report of physics practicum that had been validated by the experts through FGD are presented in Table 1 below.

Table 1.
Aspects and Sub-aspects of the Ability to Write the Physics lab work Report

No	Laboratory report Abilities	Assessment Point		Criteria Score
	Elements of Performance assessment	Points Possible		
	Identification of report	Yes	No	
1.	The title clearly the independent and dependent variable	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	4 ○
2.	Name of experimenters is given	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	
	Statement of the problem			
3.	The background for the problem is summarized	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	3 ○
4.	Relevant literature is cited	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	2 ○
5.	The hypothesis is stated clearly	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	1 ○
6.	It predicts the influence of the independent variable on the dependen variable.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	
	Experimental Design			
7.	The prosedur for controlling and measuring variables through repeated trials is easy to follow.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	
8.	The experiment procedure is complete and clear enough that another person could carry it out	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	
9.	The experimental design tests the prediction	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	
10.	A compele list of required materials is provided	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	
	Data collection and display			
11.	Appropriate tools and materials are selected to collect the data	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	
12.	The data table included the appropriate data.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	
	Data for the for the independent and dependent variables are clearly shown.			
13.	An appropriate type og graph is used. The	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	

	independent variable is put on the x- axis and the dependent variable is put on the y-axis		
14.	The graph should reflect any uncertainty of measurement.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
	Data analysis		
15.	The analysis includes all the data.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
16.	The relationship between the dependent and the independent variables is clearly described.		
17.	The analysis includes appropriate mathematics procedure		
18.	The analysis includes appropriate statistical procedures.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
19.	The analysis is accurate and thoughtful	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
	Conclusion and suggestion		
20.	The hypothesis is evaluated clearly	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
21.	Conclusions are reasonable given the observations made and the observer's prior knowledge.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
22.	Conclusions are explained and justified based on the observer's prior knowledge	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
23.	Recommendations are made for further study.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
24.	References in the bibliography are make properly	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
	TOTAL SCORE		

This performance assessment instrument for the ability to write the report physics practicum had been tried out to 54 Grade VII students of SMP Negeri 15 Yogyakarta. The trial involved three raters consisting of three physics teacher each from Grades VII, VIII, and IX. The materials in this assessment were *Temperature and Calor*. The assessment data gathered during the try-out were analyzed using the methods of estimating reliability, namely *interclass correlation coefficients (ICC)* and *Cronbach's alpha coefficients* with 95% confidence interval and the following results were obtained: ICC = 0.688 and Cronbach's Alpha (α) coefficient = 0.858, meaning that the resulting estimated reliability coefficient of the instrument belongs to a good category. This implies that this performance assessment instrument for the ability of junior high school students to write the report of physics practicum already has good inter-rater reliability.

Table 2.
Estimated Reliability of the Instrument

MS_p	MS_r	k	df	ICC	α-Cronbach
0.613	0.111	3	2	0.602	0.819

The results of the performance assessment for the ability to write the report of physics practicum of Grade VIII students of SMP Negeri 15 Yogyakarta with the scoring criteria from 1 to 4 are presented in Table 3. Based on the frequency and percentage of the attained scores, it can be concluded that the ability to write the report of physics practicum of Grade VIII students of SMP Negeri 15 Yogyakarta belongs to the unsatisfactory category.

Table 3.
Results for the Measurement of the Ability to Write the Physics lab work Report

Criteria score	Frequency	Percent
1= unsatisfactory	1	1.9
2= inadequate	20	37.0
3=weak	33	61.1
4=satisfactory	0	0.0

Conclusions and Suggestions

Conclusions

Based on the research findings, the following conclusions can be made:

1. In relation to the performance assessment instrument for junior high school students' ability to write the report of physics practicum, the following can be concluded: (a) The aspects of assessment covered the ability to: identify the report, express the problems, employ the experimental design, collect and display the data, analyze the data, as well as draw conclusions and suggestions. (b) The format of assessment employed the checklist model consisting with 24 items of assessment. (c) The content validity of the instrument was obtained through Focus Group Discussion. (d) Qualitative estimation of the reliability of the instrument is considered good.
2. The ability of the students of SMP Negeri 15 Yogyakarta in writing their physics lab work report is considered unsatisfactory.

Suggestions

Based on the concluded findings of the research, the following suggestions can be made:

1. It is important to conduct training in how to develop a performance assessment instrument for the ability to write the report of physics practicum intended for physics teachers that are not members of the FGD.

2. Physics teachers in Junior High Schools can employ this performance assessment instrument for the ability to write the report of physics practicum which results can be used as learning assessment.

Refference

- Depdikbud. (2013). PERMENDIKBUD No.66 Tahun 2013 tentang Standar Penilaian. Jakarta.
- Djemari Mardapi. (2012). *Pengukuran Penilaian & Evaluasi Pendidikan*. Yogyakarta: Muha Medika.
- Glencoe Science.(t.t). *Performance Assessment in the Classroom*. New York: Glencoe McGraw-Hill.
- Oriondo, L.L. & Dallo-Antonio. (1998). *Evaluating Educational Outcomes (Test, Measurement, and Evaluation)*, (5th ed.). Queson City: REX Printing Company, Inc.
- Quellmalz, E. & Schank, P. (1999). Performance assessment links in science. *Journal Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation*, 6(10).
- Ruiz-Primo, M.A. & Shavelson, R.J. (1996). Rhetoric and Reality in science performance assessment: an update. *Journal of Reseach and Science Teaching*, Vol.33.
- Shavelson, R.J, Baxter, G.P., & Pine, J. (1992). Performance assessment political rhetoric and measurement reality. *Research News & Comment: Educational Researcher*.
- Sterling, DR. (2005). Assessing Understanding. *Journal Science Scope*. V28 n4 p33-37.