ID	51176
Date	January 10, 2020 - 11:14 AM
Sender	Suhadi Suhadi
From	"Prof. Dr. Burhan Nurgiyantoro" <cakrawala@uny.ac.id>
To	"Suhadi Suhadi" <suhadi.fik@gmail.com>
CC	
BCC	cakrawala@uny.ac.id, cakrawala@uny.ac.id
Subject	[CP] Submission Acknowledgement
Body	Dear Suhadi Suhadi,

Thank you for submitting the manuscript, "Evaluation of the Bodily-kinesthetic intelligence model in Physical Education Teaching in Indonesia Primary School" to Jurnal Cakrawala Pendidikan. With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Manuscript URL: https://journal.uny.ac.id/index.php/cp/author/submission/29542
Username: suhadi_fik

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Sincerely,

Prof. Dr. Burhan Nurgiyantoro
Jurnal Cakrawala Pendidikan

_____
Cakrawala Pendidikan
http://journal.uny.ac.id/index.php/cp

ID	51176
Date	January 31, 2020 - 04:02 PM
Sender	Nur Hidayanto Pancoro Setyo Putro, Ph.D.
From	"Nur Hidayanto Pancoro Setyo Putro" <nur_hidayanto@uny.ac.id>
To	"Suhadi Suhadi" <suhadi.fik@gmail.com>
CC	
BCC	
Subject	[CP] Editor Decision
Body	Dear Suhadi Suhadi,

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Jurnal Cakrawala Pendidikan, "Evaluation of the Bodily-kinesthetic intelligence model in Physical Education Teaching in Indonesia Primary School".

However we require you to revise your manuscript. Please see notes from the reviewer, and also the comments in the soft-copy of your article. You can download these from your dashboard account.

On behalf of the editorial board,
Nur Hidayanto Pancoro Setyo Putro
(Scopus ID: 57194874971) Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta
nur_hidayanto@uny.ac.id
nhd

_____
Cakrawala Pendidikan
http://journal.uny.ac.id/index.php/cp

Reviewer A:
- the manuscript needs to conform to the journal template
- Structurally, the abstract needs to be improved regarding its contents.
- In the introductory section, it is necessary to arrange based on the topic of the problem/background and add empirical and theoretical studies
- In method section, needs to be explained in more detail
- In the results section, it is necessary to clarify tables and figures and provide an explanation.
- In the discussion section, there needs to be additional empirical theoretical studies related to research findings
----

Reviewer B:
- improve the article by customizing the CP journal template
- Refine the abstract section with clearer and concise content regarding objectives, methods, and results/conclusions.
- Fix the introduction according to the logical background of the problem.
- refine the method with a more detailed description of the research subject and instrument.
- improve the results section by clarifying the pictures and explanations
- it is necessary to add studies on the results of other studies in the discussion section.
- Please explain the concluding part in the sentence structure.

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Jurnal Cakrawala Pendidikan, "Evaluation of the Bodily-kinesthetic intelligence model in Physical Education Teaching in Indonesia Primary School".

However we require you to revise your manuscript. Please see notes from the reviewer, and the comments in the soft-copy of your article. You can download these from your dashboard account.

On behalf of the editorial board,
Nur Hidayanto Pancoro Setyo Putro
(Scopus ID: 57194874971) Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta
nur_hidayanto@uny.ac.id
nhd

_____

Cakrawala Pendidikan
http://journal.uny.ac.id/index.php/cp

Reviewer A:
- In several parts, the manuscript still needs to adjust the template, especially writing citations.
- the abstract is good enough but the sentence structure needs to be clarified.
- In the introductory section, empirical and theoretical studies have been added, but in several paragraphs the study also needs to be strengthened
- the method section still needs to be explained in detail about data collection techniques and analysis.
- The results section is good enough, it is just that the tables and figures need to be named according to the template.
- the discussion section has added empirical theoretical studies to support research findings but references from journals need to be added again.
- the conclusions section still needs to change the sentence structure.

Reviewer B:
- improve the article on citation writing by using the references manager with APA Style
- Abstract in terms of content is clearer and concise, it only needs confirmation in the results section.
- the introduction is more logical and structured but still needs to be added to empirical studies related to multiple intelligences.
- methods are still described in more detail regarding data collection techniques and instruments.
- results shown in pictures and tables need to be written down explicitly to serve as a reference for description
- ask for a more logical explanation regarding the findings in the discussion.
- the conclusion still needs to improve the sentence structure
- the article needs to be used in English structuring.

Peer Review Report

About Cakrawala Pendidikan	
Cakrawala Pendidikan is a high-quality open-access peer-reviewed research journal that is published by the Institute of Educational Development and Quality Assurance of Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta (LPPMP - UNY). *Cakrawala Pendidikan* is providing a platform that welcomes and acknowledges high quality empirical original research papers about education written by researchers, academicians, professionals, and practitioners from all over the world.	
Manuscript Information	
Manuscript ID:	51176
Manuscript Title:	Evaluation of the Bodily-kinesthetic intelligence model in Physical Education Teaching in Indonesia Primary School
Evaluation Report	
General Comments	The research paper covers some new areas of research and the topics. It's a good research paper.
Advantage & Disadvantage	The papper have an advantage logical and structured but still needs to be added to empirical studies related to multiple intelligences. A comparative study would have been much better.
How to improve	• In several parts, the manuscript still needs to adjust the template, especially writing citations. • the abstract is good enough but the sentence structure needs to be clarified. • In the introductory section, empirical and theoretical studies have been added, but in several paragraphs the study also needs to be strengthened • the method section still needs to be explained in detail about data collection techniques and analysis. • The results section is good enough, it is just that the tables and figures need to be named according to the template. • the discussion section has added empirical theoretical studies to support research findings but references from journals need to be added again. • the conclusions section still needs to change the sentence structure.
Please rate the following: (1 = Excellent) (2 = Good) (3 = Fair) (4 = Poor)	
Originality:	1
Contribution to the Field:	2
Technical Quality:	2
Clarity of Presentation :	1
Depth of Research:	2

Recommendation	
Kindly mark with a ■	
□ Accept As It Is	
□ Requires Minor Revision	
☑ Requires Major Revision	
□ Reject	

Return Date:

ID	51176
Date	March 29, 2020 - 02:01 PM
Sender	Nur Hidayanto Pancoro Setyo Putro, Ph.D. 📧
From	"Nur Hidayanto Pancoro Setyo Putro" <nur_hidayanto@uny.ac.id>
To	"Suhadi Suhadi" <suhadi.fik@gmail.com>
CC	
BCC	
Subject	[CP] Editor Decision
Body	Dear Suhadi Suhadi,

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Jurnal Cakrawala Pendidikan, "Evaluation of the Bodily-kinesthetic intelligence model in Physical Education Teaching in Indonesia Primary School".

However we require you to revise your manuscript. Please see notes from the reviewer, and the comments in the soft-copy of your article. You can download these from your dashboard account.

On behalf of the editorial board,
Nur Hidayanto Pancoro Setyo Putro
(Scopus ID: 57194874971) Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta
nur_hidayanto@uny.ac.id
nhd
_____
Cakrawala Pendidikan
http://journal.uny.ac.id/index.php/cp

Reviewer A:
- The manuscript complies with the journal template
- in terms of content, the manuscript is good according to the template.
- there are some references that still need to be improved
- Manuscripts may be accepted for publication

Reviewer B:
- the article is well fixed in the suggested parts.
- the English grammar of the article is also better.
- Citation writing and references are good.
- Articles can be published

ID	61115
Date	June 23, 2020 - 10:49 AM
Sender	Endah Retnowati ✉
From	"Endah Retnowati, Ph.D" <e.retno@uny.ac.id>
To	"Suhadi Suhadi" <suhadi.fik@gmail.com>
CC	
BCC	
Subject	[CP] Editor Decision: Accept
Body	Dear Suhadi Suhadi,

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Jurnal Cakrawala Pendidikan, "Evaluation of the Bodily-kinesthetic intelligence model in Physical Education Teaching in Indonesia Primary School".

Our decision is to: "Accept Your Manuscript".

We will send your manuscript to the copyeditor for preparing the format and layout, and afterwards you will be asked to give approval prior to publication.

Congratulations, and thank you for your kind attention.

Sincerely,

Endah Retnowati, Ph.D
Department of Mathematics Education,
Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta
(Scopus ID: 36006825500)
e.retno@uny.ac.id
_____
Cakrawala Pendidikan
http://journal.uny.ac.id/index.php/cp

Evaluation Report	
General Comments form Editor	Author Comments
Reviewer A: • In several parts, the manuscript still needs to adjust the template, especially writing citations. • the abstract is good enough but the sentence structure needs to be clarified. • In the introductory section, empirical and theoretical studies have been added, but in several paragraphs the study also needs to be strengthened • the method section still needs to be explained in detail about data collection techniques and analysis. • The results section is good enough, it is just that the tables and figures need to be named according to the template. • the discussion section has added empirical theoretical studies to support research findings but references from journals need to be added again. • the conclusions section still needs to change the sentence structure. --- --- Reviewer B: • improve the article on citation writing by using the references manager with APA Style • Abstract in terms of content is clearer and concise, it only needs confirmation in the results section. • the introduction is more logical and structured but still needs to be added to empirical studies related to multiple intelligences. • methods are still described in more detail regarding data collection techniques and instruments. • results shown in pictures and tables need to be written down explicitly to serve as a reference for description • ask for a more logical explanation regarding the findings in the discussion. • the conclusion still needs to improve the sentence structure • the article needs to be used in English structuring.	Point Revision on Introduction a. Paragraph 1: additional citations b. Paragraph 2: divided into 2 paragraphs c. Paragraph 3: divided into 2 paragraphs d. Paragraph 4: divided into 2 paragraphs e. Paragraph 5: divided into 2 paragraphs, some of which are included in the previous paragraph. f. Paragraph 6: divided into 2 paragraphs, in the last paragraph the research objectives are added Methods (changes in the structure of words and sentences) Results (changes in the appearance of tables and figures) Discussion (addition of several studies, structural changes) There is a slight change in the conclusion section References and citation (APA style)