

# EURASIA

ISSN: 1305-8223



# E U R A S I A

**Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education**

[www.ejmste.com](http://www.ejmste.com)

May 2007

**Volume 3, Number 2**

# EURASIA

Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education



## Editor

Hüseyin BAG

Pamukkale Üniversitesi, TURKEY

## Associate Editors

Mehmet Fatih TASAR

Gazi Üniversitesi,  
TURKEY

Annette GOUGH

RMIT University,  
AUSTRALIA

## Editorial Board Members

Fouad ABD-EL-KHALICK  
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA  
Maria Pilar Jiménez ALEIXANDRE  
Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, SPAIN  
Mahmoud AL-HAMZA  
IIST, Russian Academy of Sciences, RUSSIAN FEDERATION  
Mustafa AYDOĞDU  
Gazi Üniversitesi, TURKEY  
Esra AKGÜL  
Yeditepe Üniversitesi, TURKEY  
Mehmet BAHAR  
Abant İzzet Baysal Üniversitesi, TURKEY  
Nicolas BALACHEFF  
Directeur de recherche au CNRS  
Directeur du laboratoire Leibniz, FRANCE  
Fazlullah Khan BANGASH  
University of Peshawar, PAKISTAN  
Madhumita BHATTACHARYA  
Massey University, NEW ZEALAND  
Nádia BIZZO  
Universidade de São Paulo, BRAZIL  
Seouma BOUJADOUDE  
American University of Beirut, LEBANON  
Ozlem CEZIKTÜRK-KİPEL  
Bogazici Üniversitesi, TURKEY  
Chun-Yen CHANG  
National Taiwan Normal University, TAIWAN  
Constantinos CHRISTOU  
University of Cyprus, CYPRUS (SOUTHERN)  
Vera ČÍZKOVÁ  
Charles University, CZECH REPUBLIC  
Hana ČTRNÁCTOVÁ  
Charles University, CZECH REPUBLIC  
Yüksel DEDE  
Cumhuriyet Üniversitesi, TURKEY  
Colleen T. DOWNS  
University of KwaZulu-Natal, SOUTH AFRICA  
Ed DUBINSKY  
Kent State University, USA  
Billie EILAM  
University of Haifa, ISRAEL

Ingo EILKS  
University of Bremen, GERMANY  
Lyn ENGLISH  
Queensland University of Technology, AUSTRALIA  
Sibel ERDURAN  
University of Bristol, UNITED KINGDOM  
Olle ESKILSSON  
Kristianstad University, SWEDEN  
Barry FRASER  
Curtin University of Technology, AUSTRALIA  
Sandra FRID  
Curtin University of Technology, AUSTRALIA  
Peter GATES  
The University of Nottingham, UNITED KINGDOM  
Anjum HALAI  
Aga Khan University, PAKISTAN  
Paul HART  
University of Regina, CANADA  
Marjorie HENNINGSSEN  
American University of Beirut, LEBANON  
Kian-Sam HONG  
Universiti Malaysia Sarawak, MALAYSIA  
Charles HUTCHISON  
The University of North Carolina at Charlotte, USA  
Noraini IDRIS  
University of Malaya, MALAYSIA  
Gürol IRZIK  
Bogazici Üniversitesi, TURKEY  
Ryszard M. JANIUK  
Maria Curie Skłodowska University, POLAND  
Mured JURDAK  
American University of Beirut, LEBANON  
Gert KADUNZ  
University of Klagenfurt, AUSTRIA  
Fitnat KAPTAN  
Hacettepe Üniversitesi, TURKEY  
Nikos KASTANIS  
Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, GREECE  
Vincentas LAMANAUSKAS  
University of Siauliai, LITHUANIA  
Jari LAVONEN  
University Of Helsinki, FINLAND

Norman G. LEDERMAN  
Illinois Institute of Technology, USA  
Shiqi LI  
East China Normal University, CHINA  
Radhi MHIRI  
Université Tunis El Manar, TUNIS  
Seref MIRASYEDIGLU  
Baskent Üniversitesi, TURKEY  
Mansoor NIAZ  
Universidad de Oriente, VENEZUELA  
Rolf V. OLSEN  
University of Oslo, NORWAY  
Kamisah OSMAN  
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, MALAYSIA  
Aadu OTT  
Göteborgs University, SWEDEN  
Paul PACE  
University of Malta, MALTA  
Irit PELED  
University of Haifa, ISRAEL  
Milla RANNIKMÄE  
University of Tartu, ESTONIA  
Ilmar S. ŠAFUANOV  
Pedagogical Institute of Naberezhnye Chelny, RUSSIAN FEDERATION  
Elwira SAMONEK-MICIUK  
Maria Curie Skłodowska University, POLAND  
Rohaida Mohd. SAAT  
University of Malaya, MALAYSIA  
Lee SIEW-ENG  
University of Malaya, MALAYSIA  
Uladzimir SLABIN  
Vitsebsk State University, BELARUS  
Borislav V. TOSHEV  
University of Sofia, BULGARIA  
Chin-Chung TSAI  
National Chiao Tung University, TAIWAN  
Nicos VALANIIDES  
University of Cyprus, CYPRUS (SOUTHERN)  
Oleksiy YEVDOKIMOV  
Kharkov State Pedagogical University, UKRAINE  
Khoon YOUNG WONG  
Nanyang Technological University, SINGAPORE  
Nurit ZEHAVI  
Weizmann Institute of Science, ISRAEL

The Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education (EJMSTE) is an academic journal devoted to the publication and dissemination of research and position articles on all aspects of mathematics, science and technology education. All articles are reviewed by the members of the editorial board which consists of internationally respected science and mathematics educators, researchers, and practitioners.

**Submission:** EJMSTE has a fully e-mail based review system. Please send your manuscripts as an MS-Word attachment to the editors at the following e-mail addresses:  
[ejmste@metu.edu.tr](mailto:ejmste@metu.edu.tr) or [ejmste@yildiz.edu.tr](mailto:ejmste@yildiz.edu.tr)

Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education (EJMSTE) is a quarterly journal published online four times annually in February, May, August, and November.

EJMSTE is indexed and/or abstracted in Cabell's Directory Index, EBSSCO, EdNA Online Database, Education Research Index, Higher Education Teaching and Learning Journals, Higher Education Research Data Collection, Index Copernicus, JournalSeek, MathSci, PsycInfo, SCOPUS, and TOC Premier Database.

Publication of any material submitted by authors does not necessarily mean that the journal, publisher, editors, or any of the editorial board members endorse or suggest the content. Publishing decisions are based and given only on scholarly evaluations. Apart from that, decisions and responsibility for adopting or using partly or in whole any of the methods, ideas or the like presented in EJMSTE pages solely depends on the readers' own judgment.

Published by:  
MOMENT  
Kazım Karabekir Cad.  
Mustafa Kemal 33/103  
Altınpark - İstiklal  
Ankara - TURKEY

© 2005-2007 by Moment. All rights reserved. Apart from individual use, no part of this publication may be reproduced or stored in any form or by any means without prior written permission from the publisher.  
ISSN 1308 - 8223

## CONTENTS

|                       |           |
|-----------------------|-----------|
| <b>EDITORIAL</b>      | <b>99</b> |
| <i>M. Feth Tuncer</i> |           |

### Research Articles

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| <b>The Professional Preparation of Malaysian Teachers in the Implementation of Teaching and Learning of Mathematics and Science &amp; English</b><br><i>Novaihi Idris, Loh Szu Cheong, Norphuruddin Mohd. Nor, Ahmed Zabid Abdu Razak and Rehimi Md. Said</i> | <b>101</b> |
| <b>A Holistic Approach for Science Education For All</b><br><i>Nir Oren</i>   | <b>111</b> |
| <b>University Students' Knowledge and Attitude about Genetic Engineering</b><br><i>Senol Sal, Nilay Kesenci Samancı and Orhan Bozkurt</i>   | <b>119</b> |
| <b>Association between Brain Hemisphericity, Learning Styles and Confidence in Using Graphics Calculator for Mathematics</b><br><i>Rosihan M. Ali and Liew Kee Kee</i>  | <b>127</b> |
| <b>Enhancing Technology Education at Surf Science: A Collaborative, Problem-Oriented Approach to Learning Design, Materials and Manufacturing of Surfboards</b><br><i>Jerome Ausly</i>  | <b>133</b> |
| <b>Mathematics Teachers' Professional Development through Lesson Study in Indonesia</b><br><i>Macipat</i>   | <b>141</b> |
| <b>Biology Majors' Performance in a Biomathematics Course</b><br><i>Nevin Mahr, Nazaket Çetin and Mehmet Özyen</i>  | <b>145</b> |
| <b>The Impact of Motivation on Students' Academic Achievement and Learning Outcomes in Mathematics among Secondary School Students in Nigeria</b><br><i>Adedayo Ifeadi</i>  | <b>149</b> |
| <b>Problems with Science and Technology Education in Turkey</b><br><i>Musatfa Özden</i>   | <b>157</b> |

### Book Reviews

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| <b>TAKING SCIENCE TO SCHOOL: LEARNING AND TEACHING SCIENCE IN GRADES K-8</b> by Richard A. Duschl, Heidi A. Schweingubler, Andrew W. Shouse (Editors)<br><i>Jonathan Osborne</i> | <b>163</b> |
| <b>SCIENCE LITERACY IN PRIMARY SCHOOLS AND PRE-SCHOOLS</b> by Ham Bahach<br><i>Gülsüm Gökmen</i>   | <b>167</b> |

## EDITORIAL

M. Fatih Taşar, Associate Editor  
*Gazi University, Ankara, TURKEY*

---

Dear readers and contributors of EJMSTE,

We are now glad to release the second issue of the third volume. In this issue there are nine articles, again from a diverse set of topics and regions of the globe. In the past couple years EJMSTE has become known by almost every colleague in our field. Thus, we are receiving an increasing number of manuscripts every month. We would like to take this opportunity and thank all of our authors and diligent reviewers.

We also would like to welcome new editorial board members Professors Charles Hutchison of The University of North Carolina at Charlotte, Radhi Mihiri of Université Tunis El Manar and Ingo Eltsch of University of Bremen. Another development is that Professor Anetta Gough, a member of our editorial board, is now also assuming the associate editorship.

As you all know by now that EJMSTE is being published, starting with this volume, 4 times per annum in February, May, August and November. It is no doubt that the continuation of this journal and its quality will depend on all our efforts. We are doing our best in order to speed up the review process and publish the papers in a fast and timely manner to better suit your needs.

We are looking forward to receiving your valuable contributions in the coming issues.





# Mathematics Teachers' Professional Development through Lesson Study in Indonesia

Marsigit

The State University of Yogyakarta, Yogyakarta, INDONESIA

Received 10 June 2006; accepted 18 January 2007

Observation practice of mathematics teaching in the period of the year 2001-2003 also indicated that many teachers still have difficulty in elaborating the syllabus; a number of mathematics topics are considered to be difficult for teachers to teach; a significant number of children consider some mathematics topics as difficult to understand; teachers consider that they will need guidelines for conducting teaching process by using science process skill approach. Results of the initial Lesson Studies significantly indicated that there are improvements of the practice of secondary mathematics teaching learning processes in term of teaching methodology, teacher competencies, students achievements, alternative evaluation, teaching learning resources and syllabus. However, in term of the longer term for teacher development program the result of initial Lesson Studies can be perceived as merely a starting point. There are still many things to be done in order that mathematics teachers develop their professional development.

**Keywords:** Mathematics Teaching, Professional Development, Lesson Study

## INTRODUCTION

Lesson Study activities let the teachers to reflect and evaluate, in cooperation with lectures or other teachers, their paradigm of teaching. Henriksen Sasaki (2003) indicated that approaches of Lesson Studies covered (a) students cooperation with others in their learning, (b) contextual teaching and learning, (c) life-skill, (d) hands-on activities, (e) interactive process oriented curriculum and syllabi development, and (f) teachers and students autonomous. From those three sides of study, there can be produced the notions of educational improvement, in term of teacher, student and lecture.

The objectives of those Lesson Study activities were to contribute the improvement of secondary mathematics education by pursuing good practice of mathematics teaching (Marsigit, 2003). Lesson Studies

for secondary mathematics were carried out by mainly Citizen Action Research approach. They carried out to improve the teaching learning practices and to find more appropriate methods for facilitating students learning. Teachers' experiences have been shared with other teachers and the lectures. The specific objectives of Lesson Study activities are:

- (1) to develop instruments and equipments for teaching learning process,
- (2) to develop teaching method and model for teaching learning process,
- (3) to develop teaching material for teaching learning process, and
- (4) to develop teaching evaluation for teaching learning process.

Ieda (2006) indicated that in the process of pre-service teacher education, it is important to develop teacher's perspectives and, learning to listen is a key word for this approach. He added that, in the case of Japan, lesson study usually begins by developing a lesson plan in which teachers solve and pose problems from students' perspectives. Further, he claimed that By analyzing problems, teachers develop good ways of questioning. For writing the description of the VTR, it

*Correspondence to:* Marsigit, Lecturer in Mathematics Education, Faculty of Mathematics and Sciences, The State University of Yogyakarta, Yogyakarta, INDONESIA  
E-mail: marsigit@yahoo.com

## Masigit

is very important to ask why? Why did students say this? Behind their words, there must be so many kinds of ideas. Why did the teacher say that? Through those questions, we can better know and understand the hidden features of the lessons being observed through VTR (Ikeda, 2006).

Then, it is very important to add the format of such kinds of descriptions from the view points of original lesson from different context e.g. Indonesian context. Even if we add descriptions we should be critical because re-contextualization is done by VTR users.

## METHOD

### Teacher Professional Development Activities

Since the early of 2000, there are collaborations among universities, teacher training institutes and Ministry of Education of the Directorate of Secondary Education to improve teachers' competencies to support the implementation of the proposed competence-based curriculum (DGSE, 2002). The data of teachers' professional development coming up from various activities such as (Masigit, 2003): Validation and Socialization of the Guideline of Syllabi and Evaluation System of Competence-Based Curriculum, National Workshop for Socialization the Development of Competence Based Curriculum for Junior High School Mathematics, National Level of Training of Trainer (TOT) for Basic Science, Monitoring and Evaluation of the Piloting of Competence-Based Curriculum for Mathematics in State Junior High School.

### Case Study: Observing Japanese Lesson Study through VTR

In each of those activities, the author played the Japanese VTR of Lesson Study produced by CREAL (2003) of DIRECT NETWORK NICHIBUN, to reflect teachers' perceptions and to understand the context it influences teachers' following activities. From the seven activities of workshops, there are totally 440 participants who observed the VTR and give the inputs. In each of those workshops, there are some steps of

affecting those teaching: Firstly, observing the VTR without any comment from the trainer; Secondly, collecting the general comments from the audience; Thirdly, repeating the observation of the VTR with some comments from the trainer; Fourthly, discussing the more specific aspects of the teaching.

|          |  |
|----------|--|
| VTR      | Produced by CREAL, Direct Network                                |
| Nichibun |  |
| Lesson   | : <i>Classing Task according to Pupil's Interest (4th grade)</i> |
| Teacher  | : SAITO, Kazuya  |
| School   | : Ootogawa Elementary School, Yokohama City                      |
| Date     | : The area of plane figures                                      |

In the highlighting the VTR, teachers observe and reflect on how the teacher facilitate their students (Ikeda, 2006): to appreciate the formulas for the area of figures and are willing to use the formulas in order to find the area; to find the area making the best use of their prior knowledge and experience; to formulate the methods to find the area of parallelogram; to find the area of fundamental figures efficiently; to understand the methods of finding the area of fundamental figures.

### Initiating Lesson Study

Under cooperation between Government of Indonesia (GO) and JICA-Japan, three universities UPI Bandung, UNY Yogyakarta and UM Malang carried out project called IMSTEP-JICA for pursuing good practice of mathematics (and sciences) teaching by empowering and developing teacher education (Hemwati Susilo, 2003). Starting in 1999 and lasting in 2005, the outcome of the project resulting piloting activities through Lesson Studies for good practice of secondary mathematics teaching in three cluster site West Java, Central Java and East Java.

The piloting activities were carried out in three clusters i.e. West Java (Bandung), Central Java (Yogyakarta), and East Java (Malang). Table 1 below shows the number of classes, teachers, and lectures in each site.

Table 1. Three cluster sites of Lesson Studies of Mathematics Teaching (IMSTEP-JICA Project)

|                      | West Java<br>(Bandung) |                   | Central Java<br>(Yogyakarta) |                   | East Java<br>(Malang) |                   |
|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
|                      | Year<br>2001/2002      | Year<br>2002/2003 | Year<br>2001/2002            | Year<br>2002/2003 | Year<br>2001/2002     | Year<br>2002/2003 |
| Classes<br>(schools) | 1                      | 2                 | 1                            | 3                 | 1                     | 1                 |
| Teachers<br>involved | 1                      | 2                 | 3                            | 3                 | 1                     | 1                 |
| Lectures<br>involved | 4                      | 4                 | 4                            | 3                 | 4                     | 4                 |

The Lesson Studies were developed in which the teachers, in collaboration with Lecturers and Japanese Experts, tried out some teaching model at schools (Gosman, 2015). The Lectures of Teacher Training Program and School Teachers worked collaboratively, composed some numbers of Lesson Studies. The grounds of the Lesson Study activities were reflecting and promoting the new paradigm of the secondary mathematics and science education, in which learning activities are not only perceived pragmatically and short-time oriented but also to be perceived as a long-life time purposes.

## RESULTS

In general, the activities of reflecting Japanese context of mathematics teaching through VTR in the training program were perceived as good and useful by the teachers. The teachers perceived that such activities need to be socialized to other districts in order that more teachers can learn it. They perceived that the teaching reflected in the VTR was a good model that can also be implemented in Indonesian context. However, they perceived that it is not easy to implement it.

The teachers viewed that to implement good model of mathematics teaching, as it reflected in the VTR, there are some constraints coming from: lesson plans, students' worksheets, teachers' competencies, students' readiness, educational facilities and equipments, teaching methodologies, allocation of time, number of students and budgeting. Teachers need to improve their competencies of teaching and competencies of teaching contents. They perceived that they need to improve their competencies in preparing the lesson plans and producing students' worksheets.

There were strong evidences that Lesson Studies activities improved students' enthusiasm, motivation, activities, and performance. It also improved teachers' professionalism in terms of teaching performance, variation of teaching methods/approaches, collaboration. Lecturers got to know more about the problems faced by teachers. It was take time for teachers to shift from teacher-centered to student-centered. Teachers developed teaching methods based upon more hands-on activities and daily life utilizing local material. Students were active learning and involved in discussion in their ideas among classmates.

Students enjoyed learning science and math during Lesson Study activities due to some reasons. According to students' respond, the lesson was not so formal, the contents were easier to learn, the students were able to express their ideas, the students got much time to discuss with their classmates, and the students got more experiences in science and mathematics. Further, the teachers developed alternative methods for letting their

students to learn and to construct their own concepts. However, teachers needed more time to get used to develop teaching mode by their own.

The Lesson Study project was proven to be very effective in lifting students' enthusiasm in learning mathematics, in helping students to develop their experimental and discussion skill in giving opportunities to students in developing their own scientific concept by themselves. It was also documented that by employing constructivism approach, the students may find out their best style of learning. Competition rises among groups of students in presenting the results of their work and defending their presentations. This encouraged the students to learn more theory on their own. As a result of Lesson Study activities there were many teaching material has been developed either by lecturers or by teachers.

The results of Lesson Study activities and exchange experiences come as a suggestion that to improve mathematics and science teaching in Indonesia; it needs to deliver obvious messages to the government, teachers and head-teachers or schools. It learned from the study that to promote good practice of mathematics and sciences teaching, the teachers need to en-culture their efforts in innovating teaching learning processes which meet to academic students needs, encouraging students to be active learners, developing various strategy of teaching, developing various teaching material, and in developing teaching evaluation. In developing teaching learning methods, the teachers perceived they need to plan the scenario of teaching, plan students activities, plan teachers' role, distribute the assignments, develop assessment methods, and monitor the progress of students' achievements.

According to teachers, most of the students are not ready or not able to present their ideas; it takes time for them to accustom to do that. The teachers indicated that most of the schools are lack of educational facilities and teachers need to be able to develop teaching media. According to teachers, the most difficult to implement good model of teaching practice is about time allocation. They perceived that it is not easy to take in balance between achieving students' competencies and considering their processes of learning. Meanwhile, they claimed that a teacher still should facilitate a lot number of student i.e. forty students per class.

## CONCLUDING REMARKS

This research lead to the conclusion that to develop teaching experiences, teachers need to participate frequently in Lesson Study activities. By developing teaching materials teachers may conduct the teaching and learning process more efficiently. In Lesson Study activities, the students enjoyed mathematics learning because they were involved in observing and doing

thing. Developed teaching materials also improved students' motivation and interest in learning mathematics. Although there are many kinds of teaching material that have been developed through those Lesson Study activities, there are still more topics that need to be better equipped with teaching material. This research concluded that lectures from these universities need further collaborative work to develop more teaching materials in the future.

It was indicated in this research that the teachers hoped the schools and government to support their professional development including the chance to get training, to participate the conferences, to participate in teachers club. The teachers perceived that in the teachers' club they will be able to discuss and develop lesson plan and students worksheet. Teachers suggested that teachers' professional development programs should be based on teachers' need; and therefore, it needs such a need assessment prior the programs. They also hoped that the schools and government procure educational facilities and improve their salary.

The study also recommended that to encourage educational innovations, the head-teachers need:

- (1) to make good atmosphere for teaching and learning,
- (2) to promote to implement various teaching methods and teaching learning resources,
- (3) to give the chances for the teachers and their students to perform their initiatives,
- (4) to promote cooperative learning,
- (5) to promote research class as model for educational innovations (as Japanese teachers do),
- (6) to support the teachers to be the developer/maker of the curriculum,
- (7) to promote teacher's autonomy & developing mode of teaching learning activities,
- (8) to implement school-based management,
- (9) to encourage students' parents participations, and
- (10) to promote cooperation with other educational institutions.

Further, the study also recommended that to improve the quality of mathematics education, the central government needs to:

- (1) implement more suitable curriculum i.e. more simple and flexible one,
- (2) redefine the role of the teachers i.e. teachers should facilitate students' need to learn,
- (3) redefine of the role of principals; principals should support the professional development of teachers by allowing them to attend and participate in scientific, meetings and trainings,
- (4) redefine the role of schools; school should promote school-based management,
- (5) redefine the role of supervisor; the supervisor need to have similar background with the

teachers they supervise in order to be able to do academic supervision,

- (6) improve teachers' autonomy to innovate mathematics and science teaching and learning,
- (7) promote better collaboration between school and university communication among lecturers and teachers should be improved; these could be done through collaborative action researches and exchange experiences through seminars and workshops,
- (8) redefine evaluation system, and
- (9) extend project for promoting new paradigm and educational innovations.

## REFERENCES

- American Association for the Advancement of Science. (1989). *Science for all Americans: Project 2061*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Cohen, L. and Marion, L. (1994). Research Methods in Education Fourth Edition London: Routledge.
- CREAR. (2000). VTR of Lesson Study: Teacher SAITO, Kenzo; School: Yokohama Elementary School, Yokohama city, Unit: The area of plane figures. Nichibun Diver Network.
- DGSE (2002). Guideline of National Seminar for Socialization the Development of Competence-Based Curriculum for Junior High School Mathematics in Yogyakarta. Jakarta: Department of National Education.
- Hanuman Sankar, (2002). FINAL REPORT: Improvement of Secondary School Education, IMSTEP-JICA Project.
- Ishida, M. (2005). Information for Workshop in APEC specialist session from January 17: APEC-Teknologi meeting focus on innovation of mathematics education through the lesson study. Retrieved 2008 <http://www.cicad.kcl.ac.jp/>
- Ishida, M. (2006). Referring on Good Practices via VTR Based on a VTR of Ms. Tanaka's lesson. How many blocks? Draft for APEC-Teknologi Conference in Tokyo, Jan 18-20, 2006
- Masigit. (2003). The Concepts of Curriculum 2004 and Competence-Based Syllabus for Junior High School Mathematics. Paper: Presented at National Level of Training of Trainer (TOT) for Basic Sciences, in Yogyakarta, 19-20 December 2003
- Masigit. (2003). The Implementation of Project Activities October 20-1998 September 2003, IMSTEP-JICA Project
- Gessman, J. (2003). *Lesson Study Classroom Project*, Newton, MA: Education Development Center, Inc., Center for Mathematics Education.

